Case 1:23-cr-00054-JLT-SKO Document 34 Filed 02/01/24 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
2	United States Attorney JUSTIN J. GILIO		
3	Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000		
4			
5	Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	LASTERN DIST	MCT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:23-CR-00054-JLT-SKO	
12	Plaintiff,	AMENDED STIPULATION REGARDING	
13	v.	EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER	
14	REYES QUINONEZ,	DATE: February 7, 2024	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 1:00 p.m. COURT: Hon. Sheila K. Oberto	
16			
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
18	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
19	This case is set for status conference on February 7, 2024. By this stipulation, defendant now		
20	moves to continue the status conference until March 6, 2024, and to exclude time between February 7,		
21	2024, and March 6, 2024, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv).		
22	a) The government represents that discovery in this matter, including officer worn		
23	body camera, police reports, and other items have been produced to defense.		
24	b) Defense counsel requests the additional time to review the discovery, meet with		
25	his client, conduct independent investigation, and pursue a pretrial resolution of the case. The		
26	government and defense counsel have been in communication about a pretrial resolution of the		
27	case and need the additional time to continue those discussions. The government plans to submit		
28	a formal offer to the defendant before the next status conference.		

Case 1:23-cr-00054-JLT-SKO Document 34 Filed 02/01/24 Page 2 of 3

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 7, 2024 to March 6, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 2. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: January 31, 2024

Dated: January 31, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ JUSTIN J. GILIO JUSTIN J. GILIO

Assistant United States Attorney

/s/ REED GRANTHAM

REED GRANTHAM Counsel for Defendant Reyes Quinonez

Case 1:23-cr-00054-JLT-SKO Document 34 Filed 02/01/24 Page 3 of 3